

# Principal Components of Orthogonal Object-Oriented Metrics

**Victor Laing**

SRS Information Services

Software Assurance Technology Center

<http://satc.gsfc.nasa.gov/>

# Problem

- **How can we do More with Less?**
  - Hundreds of software metrics for traditional and object-oriented software
  - Information overload too time consuming for managers and developers to interpret
- **Goals of the Research**
  - Find a easy-to-use minimum set of software metrics capable of measuring the overall quality of object oriented software
  - Assumption: higher object-orientedness ➡ higher quality software

# Software Metrics

- **Some Traditional Metrics**
  - McCabe Cyclomatic Complexity (CC)
  - Source Lines of Code (SLOC)
  - Comment Percentage (CP)
- **Some Object-Oriented Metrics**
  - Weighted Methods Per Class (WMC)
  - Coupling Between Object Classes (CBO)
  - Response for a Class (RFC)
  - Depth of Inheritance Tree (DIT)
  - Lack of Cohesion in Methods (LOCM)

# Software Metrics

---

- **Results of Previous Research**
  - Traditional metrics do not capture certain fundamental aspects of the object-oriented paradigm
  - Chidamber and Kemerer: CK Metrics Suite, 1994
  - CK suite validated by Basili in 1996 and again by Tang in 1999
  - Many other object-oriented metrics are derived from the CK suite of object-oriented metrics

# Current Research

- **Object-Oriented Metrics for this Study**
  - Weight Methods per Class (WMC)
  - Coupling Between Object Classes (CBO)
  - Response for a Class (RFC)
- **Definitions**
  - $RFC = NLM + NRM$
  - NLM = number of local methods in a class
  - NRM = number of remote methods called
  - Let  $NLM = WMC$

# Current Research

- **Under Tight Coupling of Objects**
  - $\text{NRM} \approx \text{CBO}$
  - Substituting WMC and CBO for NLM and NRM respectively in  $\text{RFC} = \text{NLM} + \text{NRM}$  gives  
 $\text{RFC} = \text{WMC} + \text{CBO}$
- **Results of the Current Research**
  - Approximately  $\text{RFC} = \text{WMC} + \text{CBO}$
  - This simple equation measures the object-orientedness of object-oriented software

# Empirical Investigation

---

- **Projects**

- Project A: 46 Java Classes (Commercial Software)
- Object-Oriented Constructs
- Project B: 1000 Java Classes (NASA Software)
- Excellent Object-Oriented Constructs
- Project C: 1617 C++ Classes (NASA Software)
- Good Object-Oriented Constructs

# Data Analysis

**Table 3:** Correlation of Metrics over Projects

	<b>CBOxRFC</b>	<b>CBOxWMC</b>	<b>RFCxWMC</b>
<b>Project A</b>	0.83	0.43	0.47
<b>Project B</b>	0.28	0.11	0.75
<b>Project C</b>	0.35	0.26	0.83

Metric 1 x Metric 2 = correlation between metric 1 and metric 2.



# Data Analysis

- Regression Model

$$- \text{RFC} = \beta_1 \text{WMC} + \beta_2 \text{CBO} + \text{Constant}$$

**Table 4:** Regression Models

	$\beta_{\text{WMC}}$	$\beta_{\text{CBO}}$	Constants	$R^2$
<b>RFC<sub>A</sub></b>	0.131 (0.160)	0.777 (0.000)	8.036 (0.466)	0.708
<b>RFC<sub>B</sub></b>	0.732 (0.000)	0.200 (0.000)	15.427 (0.000)	0.608
<b>RFC<sub>C</sub></b>	0.792 (0.000)	0.148 (0.000)	6.039 (0.000)	0.709

Coefficients are standardized, numbers in brackets are the p-values.

# Summary

- **Main Results of the Research**

- As “Object-Oriented ness” ↓ ,  
correlation of CK Metrics Suite ↑
- As “Object-Oriented ness” ↓ ,  
$$RFC = NLM + NRM \Rightarrow RFC = WMC + CBO$$

- **Benefit to NASA**

- Adhering to good object-oriented principles produces higher quality software
- The simple equation  $RFC = WMC + CBO$  evaluates object-oriented constructs